

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
**CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

PATENT NO. : 6,882,465 B1  
DATED : April 19, 2005  
INVENTOR(S) : Boulanger et al.

Page 1 of 2

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 1,

Line 35, "optimal interaction" should read -- optical interaction --.

Column 2,

Line 49, "qaudrants" should read -- quadrants --.

Column 3,

Line 15, "normal to near normal" should read -- normal or near normal --.

Line 61, "centrimetric" should read -- centimetric --.

Column 4,

Line 21, "geniometric" should read -- goniometric --.

Line 30, "i.e., juxtaposition" should read -- i.e., a juxtaposition --.

Line 47, "refraction index" should read -- refractive index --.

Column 6,

Line 32, "optical parameter" should read -- optical parametric --.

Column 7,

Line 25, "width" should read -- with --.

Line 29, " $\tan(\rho_c)$ " should read --  $\tan(\rho_e)$  --.

Line 67, "inside and said" should read -- inside said --.

Column 8,

Line 39, "times" should read -- items --.

Column 10,

Line 3, " $n(\omega_1) < n(\omega_i)$  when  $\omega_1 < \omega_2$ " should read --  $n(\omega_i) < n(\omega_j)$  when  $\omega_i < \omega_j$  --.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
**CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

PATENT NO. : 6,882,465 B1  
DATED : April 19, 2005  
INVENTOR(S) : Boulanger et al.

Page 2 of 2

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:


Column 18,

Line 10, Equation (17) “  $L_c = \frac{\pi}{\omega_1 n(\omega_1) - [\omega_1 n(\omega_1) + \omega_2 n(\omega_2)]}$  ”

should read --  $L_c = \frac{\pi}{\omega_3 n(\omega_3) - [\omega_1 n(\omega_1) + \omega_2 n(\omega_2)]}$  --.

Signed and Sealed this

Twenty-first Day of February, 2006



JON W. DUDAS  
*Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office*